Middle Passive SE Constructions in Romanian

Mihaela Gheorghe Transilvania University of Braşov The Romanian Academy – "Iorgu Iordan – Al. Rosetti" Institute of Linguistics

> Alice Bodoc Transilvania University of Braşov

Similar to other Romance languages, or even to some Slavic varieties (see Medova 2009: XVII), the Romanian accusative reflexive clitic SE is a polyfunctional marker, being involved in quite unrelated constructions. An extensive literature deals with the heterogeneity of the reflexive marker, which is attested cross-linguistically (Pană Dindelegan 1972; Dobrovie Sorin 1998, 2007; Folli 2001; Alboiu *et al.* 2004; D'Alessandro 2004; Kallulli 2006; Cornilescu and Nicolae 2016 – for Romanian and Romance languages, Israeli 1992; Rivero and Sheppard 2003; Grahek 2006; Marelj 2004 and Medova 2009 – for Slavic languages, Steinbach 2002; Alexiadou and Doron 2012; Alexiadou 2014 – for Germanic languages, among others).

The Romanian clitic SE is employed in five types of configurations (see Vasilescu 2013: 174–9): (i) constructions with syntactic reflexives (1a), (ii) constructions with reflexive lexical formatives (1b), (iii) constructions with reflexive lexico-grammatical formatives, marking a grammatical opposition (1c-d), (iv) constructions with reflexive grammatical formatives (1e-f), and (v) reflexive doubling constructions (1g).

(1) a.	$Ion_i se_i$	spală					
	Ion CL.REFL.ACC.3S	G washes					
	`Ion washes himself`						
b.	Ion se	holbează	la	Maria			
	Ion CL.REFL.3SG	stares	at	Maria			
	`Ion stares at Maria`	res at Maria`					
с.	Ion s-a	supărat		Maria	(intransitive, psych)		
	Ion CL.REFL.3SG=has got angry		on	Maria	· · · · · ·		
	`Ion got angry with Mari						
d.	Ion a supărat		pe	Maria	(transitive, causative)		
	Ion has upset=0	CL.ACC.F.3SG	PE _{DOM}	Maria			
	`Ion upset Maria`						
e.	Se aduce	aduce cafeaua. brings coffee.DEF			(SE-passive)		
	CL.REFL.PASS brings				· • /		
	`The coffee is brought/se	rved`					
f.	Se vorbeșt	e mult despre	ea.		(SE-impersonal)		
	CL.REFL.IMPERS speaks	much about	she		· • /		
	`They speak a lot about h						
g.	Ion se _i	cunoaște	ре	sinei	foarte bine.		
C	Ion CL.REFL.ACC.3S	G knows	PE _{DOM}	self.ACC	c very well		
	`Ion knows himself very	well`			-		

The SE-passive in (1e) above is an alternative construction for the analytical passive (formed with fi 'be' plus the participle of the verb (2a)), and is preferred in modern Romanian whenever the agent remains non-realized (2b), in contexts with post-verbal subject (2c), or with non-entity-denoting reading of the passive subject (2d) (see Dragomirescu 2013: 169–173); the passive value of SE also occurs in intransitive constructions which are reorganised as SE-impersonals, as the result of the suppression of the human subject (2e):

(2)	a.	Cartea book.DEF	este is	scrisă written	de by	Maria Maria					
		The book is wi			Uy	wiana					
		THE DOOK IS WI	Inten by I	vialia							
	b.	Cartea	aceea	încă	nu	s-a			scris		
		book.DEF	that	yet	not	CL.REFL.PASS=has		written			
		`That book has	has not been written yet`								
	c.	Se	va		publica		0	nouă	carte	despre	el
		CL.REFL.PASS	AUX.FU	jt.3sg	publish	.INF a new		book	on	him	
`A new book about him will be published soon`											

d.	Aici	se		vând	timbre			
	here	CL.REFL	.PASS	sell	stamps			
	`Stamps	os are sold here`						
e.	Se		doarme	mult	în	vacanță		
	CL.REFL	.IMPERS	sleeps	much	in	holidays		
	`One sleeps a lot during the holidays`							

For certain verbs (mainly transitives with an ergative correspondent), like *acri* ('sour'), *coborî* ('descend'), *opri* ('stop'), *tăia* ('cut') etc., the opposition active/passive/passive-impersonal/unaccusative reveals the use of three kinds of *se* (see 3c-e):

(3)	a.	Ion taie pâinea	(transitive)
		`Ion cuts the bread`	
	b.	Pâinea este tăiată (de Ion)	(BE-passive)
		`The bread is cut by Ion`	
	c.	Pâinea se taie înainte de a o mânca	(SE-passive ₁)
		`Bread should be cut before eating it`	
	d.	Pâinea se taie ușor / mai ușor decât carnea	(SE-passive ₂)
		`Bread can be cut easily / easier than meat`	
	e.	Maioneza se taie dacă nu pui muștar	(unaccusative)
		`Mayonnese curdles if you don't add musta	rd`

While (3e) is the distinctive reflexive form of the ergative verb, there is a subtle semantic difference between (3c-d): SE-passive₂ encompasses an arbitrary interpretation of the implicit argument, following from the generic interpretation of the agent (Steinbach 2002: 18), whereas with SE-passive₁, a particular event may be described (the agent `is not identified with an internal argument, but is rather understood as unspecified group of people` (Medova 2009: XVII), and it is supressed only because of discursive reasons).

The paper aims to show that in Romanian, some instances of SE-passive and SE-impersonal constructions (which are not necessarily involved in the opposition active/passive/passive-impersonal/unaccusative) exhibit the characteristics that we have briefly described for SE-passive₂, and that they may allow an interpretation in terms of middle passive. The analysis conducted on a rather extensive corpus of both spoken and written data will focus on the particular contexts in which the middle passive reading is triggered: (i) the adverbial modification; (ii) the modal/procedural interpretation of the event; (iii) the responsibility of the subject; (iv) the arbitrary.

References

Alboiu, G., M. Barrie, and C. Frigeni, 2004. "SE and the Unaccusative-Unergative Paradox". In Coene, M., G. de Cuyper, and Y. D'Hulst (eds.) Current Studies in Comparative Romance Linguistics, Antwerp Papers in Linguistics 107. Universiteit Antwerp, 109–139

Alexiadou, A. and E. Doron, 2012. "The syntactic construction of two non-active Voices: passive and middle". *Journal of Linguistics* 48: 1–34.

Alexiadou, A, 2014. "Active, middle, and passive: the morpho-syntax of Voice*". Catalan Journal of Linguistics 13: 19–40.

Cornilescu, Alexandra, Alexandru Nicolae, 2016. "The Grammaticalization of a Constraint on Passsive Reflexive Constructions in Romanian". In: Pană Dindelegan, Gabriela (ed.), *Diachronic variation in Romanian*, Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Cambridge.

D'Alessandro, R. A. G., 2004. Impersonal SI construction. Agreement and Interpretation. Ph.D. thesis, University of Stuttgart.

Dobrovie-Sorin, C., 1998. "Impersonal se Constructions in Romance and the Passivization of Unergatives. *Linguistic Inquiry* 29(3): 399–437.

Dobrovie-Sorin, C. 2005. "The SE-anaphor and its role in argument realization". In: M. Everaert, H. van Riemsdjik (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Syntax*. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 118–79.

Dragomirescu, Adina, 2013. "Passive and Impersonal constructions. *By*-phrases" In: G. Pană-Dindelegan (ed.), *The Grammar of Romanian*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp.169–173.

Folli, R., 2001. Constructing Telicity in English and Italian. Ph.D. thesis, Oxford University.

Grahek, S., 2006. Argument structure in Slovene. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Leeds.

Israeli, A. A., 1992. *Syntactic and Pragmatic Studies of the 'Reflexive' Verbs in Russian*. Ph.D. thesis, Yale University. Kallulli, D., 2006. "A Unified Analysis of Passives and Anticausatives". In Bonami, O. and P. Cabredo-Hofherr (eds.) *Empirical Issues in Formal Syntax and Semantics*, vol. 6.

Kemmer, Suzanne, 1993, The Middle Voice, John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam/Philadelphia.

Marelj, M., 2004. Middles and Argument Structure across Languages. OTS. Ph.D. thesis, University of Utrecht.

Medová, L., 2009. "Reflexive Clitics in the Slavic and Romance Languages". Ph.D. dissertation, University of Princeton.

Pană Dindelegan, Gabriela, 1972. "Analiza reflexivului românesc". S.C.L. nr. 4, pp. 343–364 Rivero, M. L. and M. M. Sheppard, 2003. "Indefinite reflexive clitics in Slavic". Natural Language and Linguistics Theory 21(1): 89–115.

Steinbach, M., 2002. Middle Voice: A comparative study in the syntax-semantics interface of German, John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam/Philadelphia.

Vasilescu, Andra, 2013. "Reflexive constructions". In: G. Pană-Dindelegan (ed.), The Grammar of Romanian, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp.174-9.