## MARKING CRITERIA <br> MML PART IA - USE OF LANGUAGE (PAPER A1, ab initio)

Examiners are reminded to make use of the full range of marks

| Mark | Class | Comprehension and Argument | Language | Subdivision/ Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80+ | I* | Excellent comprehension of the text. Fully coherent and persuasive discussion in response to questions on the text; arguments are very well developed and articulated. Full, clear answers with good and relevant use of detail. Answers are fluent; good sense of style at this level. | Excellent command of grammar and lexis. Good level of linguistic sophistication: mostly accurate use of complex structures, with the occasional error; vocabulary is wideranging and largely precise, showing very good use of paraphrasing. Very few basic errors. Few errors of any kind. Assured use of punctuation and spelling. Where relevant, good ability to translate into the language a short passage or a set of sentences, with very good accuracy and a good sense of idiom. |  |
| 70-79 | I | Very good comprehension of the text. Very coherent and persuasive discussion in response to questions on the text; arguments are well developed and articulated. Full, clear answers with relevant use of detail. Answers are fluent, with some sense of style. | Very good command of grammar and lexis. Fairly good level of linguistic sophistication: generally accurate use of complex structures, with the occasional error; vocabulary is wideranging and largely precise, showing good use of paraphrasing. Very few basic errors. Few errors of any kind. Good use of punctuation and spelling. Where relevant, good ability to translate into the language a short passage or a set of sentences, with very good accuracy. Where lexical gaps occur, some attempt at paraphrase is made. | 75-79: Generally accurate and precise answers, with very few errors. <br> 70-74: Good degree of competence but may contain some errors, including a small number of basic ones. |
| 60-69 | II. 1 | Good comprehension of the text. Mostly coherent and persuasive discussion in response to questions on the text; arguments are generally well developed and articulated. Clear answers, though some relevant detail may be missing. Answers are mostly fluent, if at times unidiomatic. | Good command of grammar and lexis. Some level of linguistic sophistication: generally good use of a good range of structures for this level, though errors are present, especially in more complex constructions; adequate range of vocabulary, more limited in the lower range of the scale, with some good use of paraphrasing. Word choice is at times unidiomatic. A number of errors, including some basic ones. Generally good use of punctuation and spelling. Adequate ability to translate into the language a short passage or a set of sentences, with a fairly good level of accuracy. | 65-69: Competent performance but may contain a number of errors, in particular in more complex areas. Credit should be given for the attempt to use complex structures, even where these are not wholly accurate. <br> 60-64: Generally competent but marred by more frequent or more basic errors. Resorts to occasional copying from text instead of using own words. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|l|l|l|}\hline \text { 50-59 } & \text { II.2 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Adequate comprehension } \\ \text { of the text. Discussion in } \\ \text { response to questions on } \\ \text { the text is relevant for the } \\ \text { most part, but arguments } \\ \text { may not be coherent or } \\ \text { well developed. Answers } \\ \text { are mostly clear, but often } \\ \text { lack focus. May resort to } \\ \text { copying from text instead } \\ \text { of using own words. } \\ \text { Language often lacks } \\ \text { fluency; little sense of style } \\ \text { and idiom. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Acceptable to poor command of } \\ \text { grammar and lexis. Low level of } \\ \text { linguistic sophistication for this level: } \\ \text { structures are basic and often } \\ \text { repetitive; little attempt is made at } \\ \text { using complex structures. Very limited } \\ \text { range of vocabulary. Many errors are } \\ \text { present, including many basic ones. } \\ \text { Errors are also present in punctuation } \\ \text { and spelling. Where relevant, very } \\ \text { poor ability to translate into the } \\ \text { language a short passage or a set of } \\ \text { sentences, with many errors and } \\ \text { lexical gaps. Little or no attempt at } \\ \text { paraphrasing. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}55-59 \text { : There are many errors } \\ \text { but the overall sense is not } \\ \text { impeded. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { 50-49 - 54: Performance } \\ \text { generally acceptable, but } \\ \text { some serious errors result in } \\ \text { nonsensical or } \\ \text { incomprehensible language. } \\ \text { Substantial repetition of } \\ \text { words used in text instead of } \\ \text { using own words. }\end{array}\right]$

