MARKING CRITERIA MMLL SCHEDULED PAPER ESSAYS

Examiners are reminded that these marking criteria are for papers at multiple Parts of Tripos, and judgments should be appropriate to the level being examined.

In the following marking criteria higher grades should demonstrate not only the qualities specified for that class but also all the qualities expected of lower grades.

Mark	Class	Keyword	Description	Subdivis
		-		ion
			An outstanding performance, excellent in all	
80-85	l*	OUTSTANDING	aspects: range of material covered, including	
	Dist.		references, argument, analysis and	
			exposition. Virtually without flaw, lapse or	
			inconsistency, in which all the qualities	
			deemed to constitute first-class work are	
			present in a remarkable degree.	
			Data questions: The answer includes a	
			detailed, accurate and comprehensive	
			presentation of the dataset/exercise and	
			articulates the significance and implications	
			of the specific dataset/exercise for the wider	
			theoretical debates and alternative	
			approaches and contributes new directions to	
			the theoretical debate in a sophisticated and	
			innovative way.	
			Work that is very good or excellent both in	75-79: Excellent performance,
			the range and command of the material	meeting all, or virtually all, of these
75-79		EXCELLENT	covered and in argument and analysis. The	criteria.
\downarrow	ı	\downarrow	answer engages closely and critically with	
70-74		VERY GOOD	the question; provides full supporting	70-74: Very good
			evidence; shows evidence of originality,	performance meeting many
			using examples beyond those presented in	of these criteria.
			lecture; brings in relevant material from a	
			wide but coherent range of sources;	
			demonstrates attention to detail; and is well-	
			planned and complete.	
			Part II coursework essays: includes consistent	
			and full references supported by a complete	
			bibliography.	
			Commentaries demonstrate clear analysis of	
			and close engagement with textual detail of	
			grammar, style, and expression, provide	
			insights to the text, and are structured in a	
			way which is not simply dictated by a linear	
			reading of the passage.	
			Data questions: work that identifies all the	
			analytical challenges raised by the dataset	
			and engages critically with aspects of	
			methodology and/or the empirical	
			adequacy/limitations of a given dataset.	
			The theoretical analysis identifies hypotheses	
			relevant to the phenomena in question,	

			employs and combines elements from	
			varying theoretical proposals and	
			perspectives in an innovative way and	
			articulates concrete predictions of	
			proposed analysis beyond the given	
			dataset.	
			Work that shows a good broad-based	65-69: A persuasive and effective
60-69	II.1	GOOD	knowledge of the topic, presented in an	answer, regularly, but not
		0002		consistently, sophisticated in
			the set question, and with appropriate, but	analysis and impressive in
			routine, exemplification. Broadly accurate in	displaying relevant knowledge;
			technical detail.	includes some attempt to treat the
			Part II coursework essays: includes references	evidence critically and to synthesise
			supported by a complete bibliography.	arguments.
			Commentaries pay some attention to textual	60-64: Competent and accurate in
			detail, show good organisation, and offer	the reproduction of received ideas,
			perceptive comments on the text. A 'linear'	and supported with reasonable
			commentary (which offers a running	exemplification. The answer shows
			commentary on the text from beginning to	evidence of reading of the principal
			end) may be in this class if the comments are	sources of published work on the
			perceptive and accurate and it does not fall	subject, though the range of
			into précis and paraphrase.	references may be more limited
				than answers that fall into the 65-
			Data questions: Work that shows good	69 range.
			understanding of the analytical challenge	c5 c0
			posed by a dataset. Broadly accurate in	65-69
			technical detail. Good comments on	Data questions: The answer
			methodological aspects and/or empirical	identifies most of the analytical
			adequacy of a given dataset. Identifies relevant hypotheses and offers a well organised	hypotheses relevant to the
				* .
			analysis.	empirical phenomenon in question
				and shows some attempt for a
				critical evaluation of methods and the dataset; shows attempts to
				combine elements from varying
				,
				proposals and perspectives.
				60-64
				Data questions: Competent and
				accurate in identifying the main
				points of interest in the data and
				commenting on methods and scope
				of dataset. Competent in
				identification of relevant
				theoretical hypotheses/proposals
				to account for the data, reasonably
				applied and explained.

(continues)

50-59	II.2		lacking in breadth of reference, or poorly related to the question or clumsy in presentation. May contain some fairly basic factual errors. An answer that would normally fall into the II.1 class may fall into this class if it is too short, rushed, unfinished or badly organised. Part II coursework essays: references are inconsistent or the bibliography may be incomplete or very limited. Commentaries pay little attention to textual detail, and tend to rely on précis, paraphrase, or uncritical description to make their points. Some attempt is made at analysis. Data questions: Identifies salient analytical questions but may miss some key patterns or misinterpret some data points. Uncritical description of data without engaging with questions of methodology or the scope and	justify them. 50-54: Deficiencies in understanding and coverage together with poor organisation and focus (the argument may emerge in a fragmentary unfocused or incoherent way). Some material may be irrelevant or its significance left unclear. Data questions:
40-49	III	POOR	material, but is seriously deficient in understanding, breadth of reference and/or organisation and/or presentation of evidence. May miss the point of the question, or be unduly brief. Part II coursework essays: references are inconsistent and the bibliography is incomplete or missing. Commentaries consist largely of précis and paraphrase, with technical details (e.g. rhyme schemes or rhetorical figures in literary texts, the	45-49: A valid underlying argument is discernible. 40-44: Directionless and/or padded. Data questions: 45-49: Some correct observations and plausible attempts for an analysis. An answer which provides a reasonable description of the data but with no attempt of analysis. 40-44: Incorrect or irrelevant observations; incorrect data description.

15-39	F	FAIL	Completely fails to demonstrate understanding of material; or irrelevant or extremely superficial. Part II coursework essays: no attention to references or bibliography.	
			Data questions: Completely fails to make any relevant observations about the data and attempt an interpretation or analysis.	

Notes:

Exceptions: An essay which fails to address the question adequately will receive a lower mark than its overall quality would otherwise gain.

Examiners should note that where an essentially similar paper is set in the MML Tripos for both Part II and Part IB and in the Linguistics Tripos for Part IIA and IIB, Part IB scripts should be marked separately from Part II scripts and Part IIA scripts should be marked separately from Part IIB scripts. In the marking of Part IB scripts, credit should be given for sophistication or subtlety of argument, even if the range of texts or information referred to is more limited than would be expected at Part II. Priority should be given to rewarding original ideas and interesting arguments, even if this means condoning the occasional lapse on inaccuracy.

In MML Papers Sp4 and Sp9 students may write one or more of their essays in Spanish rather than in English. Examiners are to follow the marking criteria in exactly the same way, irrespective of language chosen. A student will not be advantaged or disadvantaged for writing in either language.

Approved October 2023