MARKING CRITERIA MML YEAR ABROAD DISSERTATIONS

Please note: Examiners should not deduct marks for a choice of topic. All topics/titles have been approved by relevant Heads of Department.

Examiners are reminded to make use of the full range of marks

There are only four hours of supervision allowed for this examination as opposed to eight for a regular scheduled paper.

Examiners should give due recognition to originality and the difficulties posed by topics where there are few scholarly resources available.

Mark	Class	Keyword	Content/ Argumentation	Research/Presentation	Subdivision
			The dissertation represents	Extensive background	
80-85	۱*	OUTSTANDING	either a new approach to a	research is supplemented	
	Dist.		topic or a very thorough	with thorough critical and	
			overview of traditional	analytical assessment of	
			arguments that have been	the major contributions;	
			evaluated critically, leading to	primary and secondary	
			a clear and strong conclusion.	source materials are	
			The argument underlying the	handled with dexterity	
			dissertation is sophisticated	and critical acumen;	
			and challenging, enunciated in	presentation and	
			a clear and individual voice.	referencing are	
			The dissertation could serve as	immaculate.	
			the basis of a proposal for		
			future graduate work.		
			Demonstrates comprehensive	Demonstrates extensive	75-79: Shows
75-79		EXCELLENT	knowledge of the topic in its	use of available research	unquestioned mastery
			broader context; is very well	resources. Primary and	of the topic and
	1		structured with clear	secondary sources are	confidence in the
			expression and judicious	handled judiciously and	exposition.
			illustration. The argument	analyzed. Polished	
70-74		VERY GOOD	represents an interpretive and	presentation and	70-74: Consistently
			considered approach to the	referencing.	well-crafted,
			question, not excessively		independent and
			reliant on secondary sources.		enterprising.
			A good, sound argument	Competent use has been	65-69: Cogent and
60-69	II.1	GOOD	containing competent	made of available	resourceful
			discussion of the topic while	research resources.	arguments.
			demonstrating good overall	Account is taken of	
			knowledge of the field. The	secondary sources,	60-64: Keeps to a fairly
			dissertation should show signs	although perhaps not	routine but valid set of
			of clarity and organization	uniformly or	ideas.
			with appropriate selection of	exhaustively.	
			material, though it might not	Presentation and	
			go much beyond standard	referencing are good.	
			interpretations.		

Mark	Class	Keyword	Content/ Argumentation	Research/ Presentation	Subdivision
			Average level of argumentation	Secondary sources	55-59: A number of
50-59	11.2	FAIR	containing basic ideas, although	substituting for first-hand	ideas of interest are
			they might be limited to	knowledge of primary	discernible but the
			simplistic narrative treatment	materials. While use of	whole is flawed by
			(e.g., synopsis) at the lower	secondary literature is	inconsistencies in
			level. Shows knowledge of the	acknowledged, it is not dealt	argument,
			field but not beyond what is	with critically or analytically.	referencing or
			found in scholarly summaries.	Presentation and	presentation.
			The structure is adequate but	referencing will often have	
			often not very well developed	inconsistencies.	50-54: Marked
			and illustration is not always to		tendency towards
			the point.		padding; paucity of
					own ideas;
					unsatisfactory
					presentation.
			Very basic approach; does not	Little or inappropriate use of	45-49: A valid but
40-49		POOR	have a consistent thesis; ideas	available research resources	commonplace
			are unstructured or tacked	has been made. Ideas will	underlying argument
			together; often irrelevant and	often be heavily dependent	is discernible, but
			undirected argumentation; little	on the work of others,	marred by bad
			apt illustration. The dissertation	amounting to no more than	presentation and
			should nonetheless show some	uncritical paraphrase;	organization.
			knowledge of source material.	possibly sloppy presentation	
				and severely inconsistent	40-44: Directionless,
				referencing.	often padded,
					showing evidence of
					great haste and little
					attempt to mount an
					argument of any
					kind.
			Fails to demonstrate knowledge	Very few research resources	
15-39	F	FAIL	or understanding of source	are used or acknowledged;	
			material. Little attempt to	presentation will often show	
			present any evaluation or the	extreme carelessness.	
			evaluation is hasty and ill-		
			considered.		

Examiners may call any candidate to sustain his or her dissertation in a viva voce examination to help ascertain in which of these categories a dissertation should be placed. The Year Abroad Project Dissertation must be not more than 8,000 words in length. This includes quotations, footnotes and endnotes but excludes preliminary material (title page, contents page), appendices and bibliographies.

An examiner who has reason to believe that a dissertation has exceeded the word limit and thus infringed the rubric, should ask the Faculty Office to ascertain the exact word count. One mark will be deducted for every 100 words or part thereof above the maximum length.

Approved 27 11 2017